

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



ASB249
A3

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED 1985 CROP



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service Cotton Division
Memphis, Tennessee
July 1985

CONTENTS

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
	Introduction	1
	Leading Varieties.	1
1.	Average fiber test results for varieties of cotton grown commercially in the United States, crop of 1984	2
2.	Varieties by states and United States, crop of 1985.	3
	Varieties planted by marketing services offices	
3.	Abilene.	4
4.	Altus.	4
5.	Bakersfield.	5
6.	Birmingham	5
7.	Corpus Christi	6
8.	El Centro.	6
9.	El Paso.	7
10.	Florence	7
11.	Fresno	8
12.	Greenwood.	8
13.	Harlingen.	9
14.	Hayti.	9
15.	Lamesa	10
16.	Little Rock.	10
17.	Lubbock.	11
18.	Macon.	11
19.	Memphis.	12
20.	Phoenix.	12
21.	Waco	13
22.	Winnsboro.	13
23.	Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, crop of 1985	14
24.	Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by marketing services offices, crop of 1985	14

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
COTTON DIVISION - MARKET NEWS SECTION
4841 SUMMER AVENUE - MEMPHIS, TN 38122
Telephone 901-521-2934

Cotton Varieties Planted, United States
1985 Crop

Deltapine was the leading variety of upland cotton planted in the United States for the 1985-86 season, according to the Cotton Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA. Deltapine accounted for 20 percent of the U.S. acreage planted. Stoneville was the next most popular variety with 17 percent of the acreage, followed by Paymaster with 11 percent, Acala with 10 percent and Lankart and Tamcot with 7 percent each. Sixteen varieties accounted for one percent or more of the U.S. acreage.

Deltapine was the leading variety of cotton planted in the U.S. and made up 20 percent of the 1985 cotton acreage, up from 17 percent a year earlier. Deltapine was the leading variety planted in Arizona, Louisiana and Mississippi. Deltapine was one of the two most popular varieties planted in Georgia and was the second leading variety planted in Alabama, Arkansas, Missouri and Tennessee. Deltapine 41 was the most popular Deltapine strain, accounting for 7 percent of the U.S. acreage.

Stoneville was the second leading variety planted in 1985 and accounted for 17 percent of the U.S. acreage, the same percentage as last year. Stoneville was the most popular variety planted in Alabama, Arkansas, Missouri and Tennessee and was the second leading variety in Louisiana and Mississippi. Stoneville 825 was the most popular Stoneville strain, accounting for 11 percent of the U.S. acreage.

The third most popular variety was Paymaster, accounting for 11 percent of the U.S. acreage, up from 10 percent the previous year. Paymaster was the leading variety planted in Texas and the second most popular variety planted in New Mexico and Oklahoma. Paymaster 145 was the most popular Paymaster strain which accounted for 6 percent of the U.S. acreage.

The next most popular variety was Acala, accounting for 10 percent of the U.S. acreage, down from 12 percent in 1984. Acala was the leading variety planted in California and New Mexico. Acala SJ-2 was the most popular strain planted in California and accounted for 8 percent of the U.S. acreage. The leading strain in New Mexico was Acala 1517-75.

Lankart and Tamcot varieties represented 7 percent each of the U.S. acreage planted this season, the same percentage as last year. Lankart was the leading variety planted in Oklahoma. The most popular strain in the U.S. was Lankart 611 which accounted for 3 percent of total acreage. Tamcot was the second leading variety planted in Texas. The most popular Tamcot strain was Tamcot SP21 and accounted for 4 percent of the U.S. acreage.

Pima S-6 remained the leading strain of American Pima cotton planted in the U.S. for the 1985-86 season. Pima S-6 made up 99 percent of the total acreage planted, up from 93 percent a year earlier. Pima S-5, at 1 percent, accounted for the remainder of the American Pima acreage and was down from 7 percent in 1984.

Estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1985 by counties, marketing services offices and states are presented in this report. The variety data are based primarily on estimates furnished by cotton ginners for the territories served by their gins.

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States,
1981-1985

Year	Acala	Delta-pine	Lankart	Pay-master	Stone-ville	Tamcot	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1981	13	16	10	9	16	9	27	100
1982	14	16	8	5	21	10	26	100
1983	14	16	9	9	16	9	27	100
1984	12	17	7	10	17	7	30	100
1985	10	20	7	11	17	7	28	100

Table 1. Average fiber test results for varieties of cotton grown commercially in the United States, crop of 1984 1/

Variety	Production areas	Lots tested	Staple length	Micronaire 2/	Fiber strength HVI 1/8" gage 3/	Fiber length 4/				
						Number	Number			
						32nd inch	Reading	G/tex	Inch	Percent
Dunn 120	1	1	30	29	26.0	0.93	78			
Lankart PR-75	1	2	30	32	23.6	0.94	79			
Lankart 57	2	4	30	40	22.5	0.93	80			
Lankart 611	2	4	30	36	24.4	0.93	80			
Cascot L-7	1	2	31	25	24.1	0.93	76			
G & P GP 3774	3	6	31	38	22.3	0.96	79			
Lankart LX-571	2	4	31	41	23.4	0.95	79			
Tamcot SP21	3	5	31	28	24.3	0.95	78			
Growers GSA 71	3	6	32	30	26.0	0.99	79			
Paymaster 145	1	2	32	34	26.2	0.98	80			
Paymaster 303	1	2	32	28	25.8	0.99	77			
Quapaw	1	2	32	26	26.5	0.97	78			
Tamcot CAMD-E	1	2	32	31	24.8	0.98	78			
Tamcot SP37	1	2	32	35	23.6	1.02	80			
Tamcot SP37H	1	2	32	34	23.7	1.02	78			
Cascot C-13	2	4	33	31	23.0	1.02	77			
Paymaster 404	1	2	33	30	25.8	1.02	80			
Paymaster 792	1	2	33	25	25.9	1.00	78			
Stoneville 213	2	4	34	41	25.3	1.07	80			
Coker 315	2	4	35	36	26.2	1.10	80			
Deltapine 120	1	2	35	49	26.2	1.06	81			
Stoneville 825	13	26	35	42	25.3	1.11	80			
Acala SJ-2	7	14	36	41	29.6	1.15	81			
Acala SJC-1	3	6	36	40	30.9	1.15	82			
Deltapine NSL	3	6	36	41	25.2	1.11	80			
Deltapine 150	1	2	36	42	24.3	1.13	80			
Deltapine 41	11	22	36	40	25.5	1.11	80			
Deltapine 55	2	4	36	41	24.4	1.12	78			
Deltapine 61	5	10	36	45	26.1	1.15	80			
Deltapine 70	1	2	36	52	29.0	1.12	81			
Deltapine Acala 90	5	10	36	44	28.4	1.12	80			
DES 422	1	2	36	38	24.4	1.14	80			
Dunn 119	1	2	36	29	30.1	1.12	80			
McNair 220	1	2	36	42	25.6	1.08	80			
McNair 235	3	6	36	38	25.7	1.11	80			
Stoneville 506	6	12	36	43	26.1	1.12	80			
Acala SJ-5	2	4	37	43	29.2	1.14	81			
Germain's Acala GC-510	1	2	37	44	30.1	1.16	83			
Acala 1517-75	1	2	38	36	27.2	1.17	82			

1/ Compiled from "Summary of Cotton Fiber and Processing Test Results, Crop of 1984," USDA, AMS, Cotton Division, June 1985.

2/ Micronaire reading is a measure of fiber fineness and maturity. This test measures the resistance of a plug of cotton to air flow.

3/ Fiber strength as measured on a high volume instrument (HVI) system using a 1/8-inch gage spacer between the clamp jaws (1/8-inch gage).

4/ HVI upper half mean length is the average length of the longest one-half of the fibers. Length uniformity is a measure of the degree of uniformity of fibers in a sample. It is expressed as an index of the mean/upper half mean length ratio.

Table 2. Estimated percentage of upland cotton planted to specified varieties, by states and United States, crop of 1985

Variety	AL	AZ	AR	CA	GA	LA	MS	MO	NM	NC	OK	SC	TN	TX	All other 1/	United States 2/
Acala SJ-2	-	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	64	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	5	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
" SJ-5	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
" SJC-1	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
" 1517 E-1	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	*	-	-	*
" 1517 E-2	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	*	-	-	*	*
" 1517-SR1	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	*	-	-	*	*
" 1517-75	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	-	-	*	-	-	*	*
" 1517-77	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	*	-	-	*	*
All-Tex E-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*	-	-	*	*
Blightmaster A5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Cascot B-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	*
" BR-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*	-	-	*
" C-13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	2	3	1	1
" L-7	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	1	-	2	*	1	*
Coker 208	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	*	-	1	-	-	*	*
" 304	*	*	-	-	-	9	-	-	3	-	14	-	14	-	-	1
" 310	1	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	24	-	7	-	-	1	1
" 312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
" 315	1	*	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	8	-	52	-	-	1	1
" 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
" 3131	*	-	*	-	2	-	*	1	-	-	-	-	*	1	-	*
" 5110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Delcot 311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Deltapine Acala 90	15	56	*	3	16	6	*	-	1	1	2	2	-	2	8	5
" NSL	*	-	3	-	-	3	5	5	-	-	-	5	-	2	-	1
" SR-383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
" 16	-	-	-	*	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*
" 30	-	1	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
" 41	12	7	24	-	15	31	18	11	-	2	-	2	7	3	82	7
" 50	9	2	2	*	1	3	8	5	-	4	-	4	3	2	2	2
" 55	1	1	*	-	*	2	5	3	-	-	-	-	*	1	-	1
" 61	4	12	6	1	4	4	5	6	-	*	1	1	-	-	2	*
" 62	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*
" 70	-	2	-	*	-	-	1	3	*	-	2	-	-	-	*	*
" 102	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
" 120	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
DES 422	-	*	*	-	-	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Dunn 109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	*	-	*
" 119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
" 120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1
" 219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
" 224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	1
G & P GP 3755	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
" GP 3774	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	2	-	2
Germain's Acala GC-510	-	*	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2
Growers GSA 71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	7	3
" GSA 75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1	-	1
" GSA 78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Gumbo 500	-	-	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Hurdt's 580	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*	*
" 700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	*	*
Lankart LX-571	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	2	-	1
" PR-75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	1	1
" 57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	2	-	2	*
" 175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	*
" 611	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	5	-	3	*
Lockett 77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1	-	-	*
McDonald 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
McNair 220	*	*	-	5	-	*	3	-	6	9	-	2	-	1	-	1
" 235	1	*	1	13	*	3	-	1	36	-	14	8	*	1	-	1
" 308	-	-	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Paymaster 145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	14	-	-	12	2	6
" 266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
" 303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	1
" 404	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	-	5	-	2
" 792	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	2	1
Pioneer PR-80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Quality Seed QS-137	2	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Quapaw	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1
SC-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	*
Stoneville 213	-	-	1	*	-	2	4	1	-	-	1	-	2	1	-	1
" 302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	-	*	1
" 506	4	1	40	*	16	10	38	-	-	3	-	-	19	1	*	5
" 825	49	1	23	*	10	29	25	24	*	1	-	-	55	4	-	11
Stripper 31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Sunbelt 992	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Tamcot CAMD-E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	6	-	-	2	-
" SP21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	8	-	4
" SP21S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1
" SP37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
" SP37H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Westburn M	-	-	-													

Table 3. Abilene Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Cascot L-7	Delta-pine Acala 90	G & P		Growers GSA 71		Lankart LX-571		Pay-master 145		Camcot CAMD-E		SP21 SP21S		Western 44 SP37		Other 1/		Total	
			Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
Texas:																				
Fisher	*	-	-	7	*	1	18	7	5	46	-	-	-	-	-	*	15	100		
Haskell	4	-	6	-	-	29	4	31	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	100		
Howard 2/	15	-	-	6	-	-	6	70	1	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	50	100		
Jones	-	-	-	*	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	100		
Knox	-	-	4	-	2	-	-	74	5	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	20	100		
Martin 2/	2	-	4	-	13	3	-	-	14	-	25	3	-	-	-	-	4	46	100	
Mitchell	2	-	4	-	49	10	4	8	-	24	-	13	-	-	-	-	23	14	100	
Runnells	-	-	4	-	2	14	-	3	-	8	13	-	-	-	-	-	4	100		
Scurry 3/	9	-	-	12	11	*	1	3	-	39	3	-	-	-	-	-	6	23	100	
Tom Green	-	-	12	11	*	5	7	2	8	10	19	39	3	-	-	-	12	100		
All other	*	17	14	-	-	-	-	-	2	13	2	13	2	6	-	*	14	100		
Office total	2	6	9	2	2	7	2	19	6	2	16	2	4	2	2	19	100			

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

2/ A portion of this county is served by Lamesa Marketing Services Office.

3/ A portion of this county is served by Lubbock Marketing Services Office.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 4. Altus Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Cascot C-13	Dunn 120	Lankart LX-571		Paymaster PR-75		Lankart LX-571		Paymaster PR-75		Stoneyville 302		Paymaster PR-75		Camcot CAMD-E		Camcot CAMD-E		Westburn SP21		Westburn SP21		Other 1/		Total	
			Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		
Oklahoma:																										
Beckham	-	-	6	30	-	-	34	22	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	2	100					
Caddo	21	-	17	5	13	40	4	14	14	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	3	100					
Greer	-	-	13	9	-	2	1	4	27	2	3	21	5	-	-	-	-	1	18	100						
Harmon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	16	-	21	5	-	-	-	36	36	100						
Jackson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	15	1	-	-	-	-	-	4	6	100						
Kiowa	2	-	2	1	30	-	39	15	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	1	-	100					
Tillman	15	-	15	3	35	5	31	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	1	100						
Washita	1	-	20	8	25	-	28	16	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	6	100						
All other	5	-	4	10	16	-	14	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	6	100						
Total	6	-	9	4	25	2	14	14	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	9	100						
Texas:																										
Brisco	-	2	-	2	38	9	-	26	53	-	2	17	33	2	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	49	100			
Cottle	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100			
Hall	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	100			
Motley	-	25	-	8	-	7	-	12	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	49	100					
Wilbarger	-	-	-	4	3	9	5	16	20	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	15	100			
All other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Total	-	2	3	1	11	3	14	29	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	2	2	20	100					
Office total	2/	3	1	5	3	17	2	14	23	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	15	100				

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

2/ A portion of this county is served by Lamesa Marketing Services Office.

3/ Includes small acreages in Kansas.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 5. Bakersfield Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Acala			Germain's Acala GC-510			Other 1/			Total		
	SJ-2	SJ-5	SJC-1									
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
California:												
Kern	77	5	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	100
Kings ^{2/}	94	-	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare ^{2/}	53	7	8	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office total	78	4	6	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	100

^{1/} Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
^{2/} A portion of this county is served by Fresno Marketing Services Office.
^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 6. Birmingham Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Coker			Deltapine			McNair			Stonewall			Other 1/			Total		
	208	310	315	Acala 90	NSL	41	50	55	61	220	235	506	825					
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
Alabama:																		
Cherokee	-	6	-	3	-	11	-	1	-	-	-	21	69	-	100			
Colbert	2	-	-	1	-	13	-	2	-	-	-	5	78	-	100			
Dallas	-	-	-	85	-	61	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100		
Elmore	-	-	-	13	-	1	4	1	-	-	-	3	8	-	100			
Lauderdale	-	*	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	3	89	-	100			
Lawrence	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	10	2	48	-	100		
Limestone	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	13	6	75	1	100		
Madison	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	13	74	-	100		
Shelby	-	-	-	1	3	1	24	1	2	-	-	2	85	-	100			
All other	2	2	3	34	1	24	1	11	2	-	-	1	17	*	100			
Total	1	1	1	15	*	12	9	1	4	*	1	2	4	49	*	100		
Office total	2/	1	1	1	15	*	15	9	1	3	*	1	2	4	47	*	100	

^{1/} Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
^{2/} Includes small acreages in Florida.
^{*} Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 7. Corpus Christi Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Cascot C-13	Coker 312	Deltapine			G & P			Stoneville			Tamcot			Other 1/ Total	
			Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		
Texas:																
Nueces	27	-	-	-	-	26	3	1	-	10	11	*	8	6	100	
San Patricio	40	5	-	-	-	19	-	*	-	1	3	-	4	25	100	
Wharton	-	-	25	38	9	-	-	-	4	20	2	-	-	-	100	
All Other	-	-	5	17	-	35	-	-	-	6	1	-	1	32	100	
Office total	28	2	2	4	1	22	1	1	*	6	6	*	5	18	4	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 8. El Centro Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Deltapine			Stoneville			---			---			Other 1/ Total			
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
California:																
Imperial	41	*	*	48	-	-	5	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	100
Riverside	86	*	*	9	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	70	*	1	23	-	-	3	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Arizona:																
Yuma	23	3	4	68	*	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	23	3	4	68	*	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office total	56	1	2	36	*	3	*	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	2	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 9. El Paso Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Acala		McNair		Stoneville		Other 1/ 1/	Total
	SJ-2	E-2	SR1	75	1517	77	506	825
Texas:								
ETI-Paso	-	-	*	8	-	-	89	-
All other	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	56
Total	-	-	*	2	-	-	4	66
New Mexico:								
Dona Ana	-	4	13	-	-	-	2	-
Eddy	27	-	8	24	-	-	2	-
Luna	-	-	98	-	-	-	2	-
All other	-	11	12	29	12	-	11	2
Total	6	4	7	48	12	-	1	3
Arizona:								
Cochise	-	4	1	15	18	20	-	29
All other	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	60

Office total 3 2 3 23 7 3 2 34
17 Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 10. Florence Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Coker		Acala		Deltapine		McNair		PD-1		SC-1		Other 1/		Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
North Carolina:															
Cleveland	-	-	28	8	-	-	-	-	4	60	-	-	-	-	100
Halifax	-	11	14	-	1	6	8	-	7	2	51	-	-	-	100
Northampton	-	-	40	-	-	-	-	-	35	25	-	-	-	-	100
All other	*	19	24	12	1	1	4	*	6	33	-	-	-	-	100
Total	*	14	24	8	1	2	4	*	2	9	36	-	-	-	100
South Carolina:															
Calhoun	-	3	3	74	7	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
Lee	1	35	7	54	*	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Marlboro	-	4	5	27	1	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Orangeburg	-	12	18	49	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Sumter	-	5	-	86	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
All other	1	11	9	49	-	3	*	3	-	5	18	-	-	1	100
Total	1	14	7	52	2	2	4	1	-	2	14	*	1	-	100
Office total	*	14	14	34	1	2	4	1	1	5	23	*	1	-	100

Table 11. Fresno Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	SJ-2	Acala	SJ-5	SJC-1	Germain's Acala GC-510	Pct.	Total											
																		Other 1/ 1/
<u>California:</u>																		
Fresno	60	4	9	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings 2/	69	1	3	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	49	6	5	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	26	17	13	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare 2/	57	23	*	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office total	56	7	8	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 2/ A portion of this county is served by Bakersfield Marketing Services Office.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 12. Greenwood Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Acala 90	NSL	16	41	50	55	61	102	DES 422	Gumbo 500	McNair 235	213	506	825	Stoneville	Other 1/ 1/	Total		
																		Pct.	
<u>Mississippi:</u>																			
Bolivar	13	5	9	13	43	-	-	-	17	8	-	-	-	-	-	6	100		
Coahoma	1	-	-	10	-	-	-	7	2	29	-	-	-	-	9	7	100		
Hinds	3	-	-	20	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	39	6	100		
Holmes	-	13	-	15	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	100	
Humphreys	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	39	9	4	100	
Leflore	-	8	-	16	*	-	-	1	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	9	43	-	100
Madison	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	-	100	
Panola 2/	-	6	-	16	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	3	9	100	
Quitman	3	4	-	31	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9	28	-	100
Sharkey	-	-	-	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Sunflower	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	6	29	-	100
Tallahatchie	4	-	-	36	27	-	-	1	1	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	100
Tunica	-	-	-	10	8	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	6	24	1	100
Washington	-	4	-	26	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	12	-	100	
Yazoo	-	6	-	5	1	-	-	20	-	2	-	-	-	-	12	50	2	100	
All other	1	7	-	26	4	-	-	2	14	2	3	3	1	4	5	26	2	100	
Office total	1	5	1	18	9	5	6	3	14	*	1	5	6	25	1	5	1	100	

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 2/ A portion of this county is served by Memphis Marketing Services Office.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 13. Harlingen Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Cascot	Coker	Acala	Deltapine	Dunn	G & P	McNair	Stoneville	Tamcot	Valco	Other	Total
	C-13	312	90	41	120	GP 3774	220	213	SP37H	SR-10	1/	Pct.
Texas:												
Cameron	-	-	*	49	6	1	11	*	2	28	-	2
Hidalgo	-	11	-	17	4	-	1	5	35	11	-	100
Willacy	-	-	-	35	-	1	1	*	51	-	*	100
All other	16	-	-	-	-	-	42	-	42	-	-	100
Office total	*	4	*	31	3	1	4	4	3	38	4	2
										*	1	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 14. Hayti Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Coker	Delcot	Deltapine	DES	Stoneville	Tamcot	Other	Total
	3131	311	NSL	41	50	55	61	Pct.
Missouri:								
Dunklin	3	2	1	10	7	8	5	11
New Madrid	5	-	-	23	5	-	-	-
Pemiscot	-	1	-	4	-	-	-	2
All other	3	-	-	11	1	8	-	-
Total	3	1	1	5	11	5	3	38
						*	-	24
Arkansas:								
Craighead	*	-	-	6	1	1	-	*
Mississippi	-	-	-	13	2	1	1	83
Poinsett	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	61
All other	-	-	*	6	3	*	2	31
Total	-	*	-	*	8	1	*	52
Office total	1	*	2	9	3	2	3	60
						*	1	26
						2	51	25
						*	1	1
						*	1	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 15. Lamesa Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Bright- master	Cascot	Coker	Delta- Pine SR-383	Dunn	Growers GSA 71	Paymaster	Quapaw	Tamcot	Other 1/	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	CAMD-E	SP21	SP21S
Texas:											
Dawson	11	1	2	3	2	5	8	3	-	1	30
Gaines	1	1	2	2	4	5	5	2	4	5	100
Lynn	-	-	16	-	11	6	17	-	5	10	100
Martin	4	4	2	4	-	7	8	-	31	2	100
Terry	-	3	-	-	6	6	32	-	-	40	100
All other	3	12	4	-	1	-	4	7	30	-	100
Office total 2/	4	3	2	3	2	5	5	3	-	10	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

2/ Includes small acreages in New Mexico.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 16. Little Rock Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Acala 90	NSL	41	50	55	61	102	DES 422	McNair 235	Stoneville 506	825	Other 1/	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Arkansas:													
Ashley	-	23	34	11	-	6	-	-	-	4	-	26	-
Chicot	-	1	30	-	6	16	-	-	-	-	31	12	100
Desho	-	-	27	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	12	100
Jefferson	-	-	34	2	-	22	-	-	-	-	23	19	100
Lee	-	6	11	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	15	100
Lincoln	4	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	25	100
Lonoke	-	-	20	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	21	54	100
Monroe	-	33	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	9	100
Phillips	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	70	100
St. Francis	-	-	84	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	100
All other	-	1	35	-	1	26	1	-	-	*	8	28	-
Office total	*	6	31	3	1	10	*	*	1	27	21	-	-
													100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 17. Lubbock Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Cascot L-7	Coker 500	Delta- pine SR-383	Dunn	119	120	219	Growers GSA 71			Paymaster			Quapaw			Famcot			Other 1/ _			Total	Pct.	
								Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		
Texas:																									
Bailey	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	22	2	7	2	9	4	11	32	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Castro	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	8	14	1	21	-	-	-	11	-	35	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cochran	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	3	19	-	-	14	1	15	7	13	20	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Crosby	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	*	1	9	10	14	11	6	1	1	18	18	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Dickens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	3	13	8	-	-	4	-	-	-	72	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Floyd	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	*	14	22	7	21	2	-	2	5	19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Garza	-	*	4	1	-	-	-	*	10	3	4	9	28	2	-	-	40	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Hale	2	-	* 2	-	-	-	-	*	1	19	22	7	14	13	2	2	2	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Hockley	1	-	* 2	-	-	-	-	*	2	27	16	9	12	1	3	1	1	19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Lamb	5	-	* 3	-	-	-	-	*	1	16	22	2	5	7	5	4	1	25	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Lubbock	1	6	-	4	-	-	-	*	3	9	11	9	17	2	-	-	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Lynn 2/	23	7	24	6	-	-	-	*	1	21	23	6	6	1	1	1	15	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Parmer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	18	23	36	2	-	3	3	19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Swisher	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	1	1	1	1	1	9	-	-	-	15	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Terry 2/	-	3	* 3	-	-	-	-	*	4	18	12	12	13	13	12	1	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Yoakum 2/	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	2	30	12	2	12	12	12	5	-	21	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
All other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	60	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total	4	2	4	3	2	16	15	6	10	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	24	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

New Mexico:

Table 18. Macon Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

Table 19. Memphis Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Coker 3131	Deltapine					DES			McNair			Stoneville			Other 1/		Total
		Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
Tennessee:																		
Crockett	-	-	2	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	30	64	-	-	100
Fayette	-	7	6	4	-	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	-	19	56	-	-	100
Gibson	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	68	-	-	100
Hardeman	-	1	14	15	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	15	37	-	-	100
Haywood	-	13	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	2	18	57	-	100
Lauderdale	-	1	9	2	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	35	41	-	-	100
Madison	*	4	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	26	45	-	-
Shelby	5	9	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	22	46	-	-
Tipton	-	5	14	3	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	18	18	40	-	100
All other	-	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	8	80	*	100
Total	*	5	7	3	*	-	1	-	-	8	-	-	-	2	19	55	*	100
Arkansas:																		
Crittenden	-	-	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	10	-	100
Total	-	-	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	10	-	100
Mississippi:																		
Calhoun 2/	-	-	13	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	13	-	100
Marshall	-	1	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	100
Panola 2/	-	5	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	14	-	100
Tate	-	37	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	37
All other	*	3	16	7	*	2	*	2	*	4	*	4	19	8	1	1	25	15
Total	*	3	15	5	1	1	*	*	*	3	15	6	1	31	19	*	100	
Office total	*	4	12	3	*	*	*	*	*	1	10	2	1	24	43	*	100	

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 2/ A portion of this county is served by Greenwood Marketing Services Office.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

- 12 -

State and County	Deltapine					DES			McNair			Stoneville			Other 1/		Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
Arizona:																	
Lapaz	72	*	-	*	*	8	2	5	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
Maricopa	58	1	3	2	9	2	2	3	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
Pima	8	*	31	17	29	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	4	100	
Pinal	69	1	14	1	8	1	1	1	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	100	
All other	25	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	100
Office total	60	1	8	2	2	8	2	2	2	13	*	*	*	*	1	-	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.
 * Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 20. Phoenix Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Deltapine					DES			McNair			Stoneville			Other 1/		Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
Arizona:																	
Lapaz	72	*	-	*	*	8	2	5	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
Maricopa	58	1	3	2	9	2	2	3	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100
Pima	8	*	31	17	29	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	*	100	
Pinal	69	1	14	1	8	1	1	1	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	100	
All other	25	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office total	60	1	8	2	2	8	2	2	2	13	*	*	*	*	1	-	100

Table 21. Waco Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1985

State and County	Cascot		Deltapine		G & P GP 3774		Lankart		Pay- master 145		Tamcot CAMD-E		Other 1/ 1/		Total	
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Texas:																
Brazos	-	-	6	-	-	-	91	-	-	-	7	86	1	-	-	100
Collin	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Ellis	2	-	-	-	5	30	-	-	-	-	9	-	9	25	-	100
Fort Bend	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	6	-	-	59	-	-	6	-	100
Hill	-	1	-	-	-	15	-	15	-	4	-	-	-	13*	-	100
Robertson	-	-	31	-	-	-	20	19	-	8	9	-	-	4	23	100
Williamson	4	13	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	11	6	-	1	-	-	100
Zavala	-	-	98	-	-	-	15	17	6	5	3	3	6	3	9	100
All other	3	6	9	2	2	2	15	17	6	11	5	3	3	-	-	100
Office total <i>2/</i>	2	4	14	3	5	16	12	3	6	4	2	1	17	2	9	100

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

*2/ Includes small acreages in Oklahoma.
* Less than 0.5 percent.*

Table 22. Winnsboro Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes, crop of 1985

State and Parish	Acala 90		NSL		Deltapine		DES 422		Gumbo 500		McNair 235		Quality seed QS-137		Stoneville 213		Stoneville 506		825		Other 1/ 1/		Total	
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Louisiana:																								
Caddo	5	8	15	-	2	-	-	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	15	22	-	-	100	-	100
Catahoula	4	4	14	2	-	-	-	14	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76	-	-	-	-	-
East Carroll	9	9	6	1	12	-	8	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	46	-	-	100	-	-
Franklin	-	1	1	54	5	9	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	14	14	56	-	-	100	-	-	-
Morehouse	2	3	65	3	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	9	10	-	-	100	-
Ouachita	3	6	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	56	11	-	-	100	-
Rapides	4	-	10	5	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	22	-	-	-	100	-
Richland	6	6	89	3	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	36	-
Tensas	5	-	18	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	-
West Carroll	36	-	61	2	1	2	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	36	-
All other	2	3	31	3	2	4	1	2	1	2	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	3	20	-	-	-	100	-
Office total	6	3	31	3	2	4	1	2	1	2	1	*	*	*	2	16	29	-	-	100	-	-	-	-

1/ Includes all varieties less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

2/ Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 23. Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, crop of 1985

State	Pima S-5	Pima S-6	Other	Total
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Arizona	-	100	-	100
New Mexico	8	92	-	100
Texas	1	99	-	100
United States	1	99	-	100

Table 24. Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by marketing services offices, crop of 1985

Marketing Services Office	Pima S-5	Pima S-6	Other	Total
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>
<u>El Paso</u>				
Arizona	-	100	-	100
New Mexico	8	92	-	100
Texas	1	99	-	100
Office total	2	98	-	100
<u>Phoenix</u>				
Arizona	-	100	-	100
Office total	-	100	-	100

